

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/761,162	Applicant(s) ARNOLD ET AL.	
	Examiner Ernesto Garcia	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants:

(1) Ernesto Garcia.

(2) Markell Seitzman.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 November 2007

Time: 11:30AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

2 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner requested an examiner's amendment to claims 2 and 11, the specification, and the drawings. The examiner also pointed out that the amendment to the claims failed to underline the limitation "axially" on claim 11, line 15 since limitation was not in the intermediate amendment on record. Mr. Seitzman indicated that the limitation should be deleted instead. With respect to the drawings, the examiner indicated that the new figure cannot contain the same reference character 80 since the parts are not identical. The examiner requested that reference character "80" in Figure 3a be changed to "80' " to distinguish them from each other. Paragraph [0031] would also be amended since the new reference character "80' " needs to be incorporated into the specification. With regards to claim 2, the examiner explained that the subject matter in this claim is redundant since the same subject matter is present in claim 3, lines 19-20. An extension of one month was required to do the examiner's amendment.